

## UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.				AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
05/637.16[	U4/ZZ/ <del>9/</del>	NEWTON		<del></del>		
EUGENE S STEI	PHENS	PM32/0416	コ	EXAMINER COREN, C		
EUGENE STEPHE 56 WINDSOR ST		CIATES				
ROCHESTER NY				3634	PAPER NUMBER	
				DATE MAILED: 04	¥/16/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



**Advisory Action** 

## Application No.

08/839,161

Newton et al

Examiner

**Curtis Cohen** 

**Group Art Unit** 3634



тн	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b]]			
	a) 🔲	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	b) [X]	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	date or	ctension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of nining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	Appel period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any difference for the formal for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 7, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):			
	□ w	rill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
		rill not be entered because:			
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NO	TE: the inclusion of the profile being "evenly extending" would require further consideration.			
	□ A -	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	Newl separ	ly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
		affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because:			
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by examiner in the final rejection.			
X	For p	ourposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
	Clain	ns allowed:			
		ns objected to:			
	Claim	ns rejected: 1-25 and 29-90			
		proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.			
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
	Othe	Daniel P Stodola			
		Daniel P. Stodola			

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3800